

DATA PARALLELISM: HOW TO TRAIN DEEP LEARNING MODELS ON MULTIPLE GPUS LAB 1, PART 1: INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION



COURSE OVERVIEW

- Lab 1: Gradient Descent vs Stochastic Gradient Descent, and the Effects of Batch Size
- Lab 2: Multi-GPU DL Training Implementation using DistributedDataParallel (DDP)
- Lab 3: Algorithmic Concerns for Training at Scale

COURSE AGENDA

10:00-11:20 Neural Network Training and Stochastic Gradient Descent

11:20-11:40 Coffee Break

11:40-13:00 Neural Network Training and Intro to Parallel Training

13:00-14:00 Lunch Break

14:00-15:20 Data Parallelism using Pytorch Distributed Data Parallel

15:20-15:40 Coffee break

15:40-16:45 Challenges of Data Parallel using Multiple GPUs

16:45-17:00 Wrap up and Q&A

LAB 1 OVERVIEW

- Part 1: Gradient Descent
- Part 2: Stochastic Gradient Descent
- Part 3: Optimizing training with batch size

CONTEXT: WHY USE MULTIPLE GPUS?

TRENDS IN COMPUTATIONAL POWER

Historically we never had large datasets or compute





TRENDS IN COMPUTATIONAL POWER

2 PF/s in November 2009





TRENDS IN COMPUTATIONAL POWER 32 PF/s today

8x NVIDIA H100 GPUs With 640 Gigabytes of Total GPU Memory

18x NVIDIA NVLink connections per GPU

900 gigabytes per second of bidirectional GPU-to-GPU bandwidth

24 TB/s memory bandwidth

4x NVIDIA NVSwitches

7.2 terabytes per second of bidirectional GPU-to-GPU bandwidth

10x NVIDIA ConnectX-7 400 Gigabits-Per-Second Network Interface

1 terabyte per second of peak bidirectional network bandwidth

Dual x86 CPUs and 2 Terabytes of System Memory

Powerful CPUs and massive system memory for the most intensive AI jobs

32 petaFLOPS AI performance



NVIDIA DGX H100



NEURAL NETWORK COMPLEXITY IS EXPLODING



AlexNet to AlphaGo Zero: A 300,000x Increase in Compute (Log Scale)



1000 PETAFLOP/S-DAYS

O(100 YEARS) ON A DUAL CPU SERVER OR O(30 DAYS) DGX H100

EXPLODING DATASETS

Power-law relationship between dataset size and accuracy





EXPLODING DATASETS

Power-law relationship between dataset size and accuracy

Character Language Models

Attention Speech Models

208 Hidden

512 Hidden

 $\varepsilon_{208}(m) = 41.2 \ m^{-0.36} + 0.39$

224

2²⁵

2²⁶

--- 208 Hidden Trend

--- 512 Hidden Trend

227

Translation

Language Models

 $\varepsilon_{512}(m) = 21.5 \ m^{-0.30} + 0.32$

222

2²³

Training Data Set Size, Number of Tokens (Log-scale)

Image Classification

.

.

.

0.67

0.62

0.54

t 0.51

0.48 0.44

0.41

220

2²¹

(electronic scale)

ō



Hestness, J., et al. (2017). Deep Learning Scaling is Predictable, Empirically. <u>arXiv: 1712.00409</u>







EXPLODING MODEL COMPLEXITY

Though model size scales sublinearly





Hestness, J., et al. (2017). Deep Learning Scaling is Predictable, Empirically. <u>arXiv: 1712.00409</u>

EXPLODING MODEL COMPLEXITY

Though model size scales sublinearly



LEARNING

INVIDIA.

Zoph, Barret, et al. (2017). "Learning transferable architectures for scalable image recognition." arXiv: 1707.07012

IMPLICATIONS

IMPLICATIONS Good and bad news

- The good news: Requirements are predictable.
 - We can predict how much data we will need.
 - We can predict how much computing power we will need.

- The bad news: The values can be significant.
 - The silver lining is that deep learning has taken impossible problems and made them merely expensive.



IMPLICATIONS

Deep learning is experimental; we need to train quickly to iterate





ITERATION TIME

Short iteration time is fundamental for success



INTRO TO THE LAB

STARTING WITH A LINEAR MODEL

Our goal is to find best model parameters (combination of w and b) to fit the data







DATA PARALLELISM: HOW TO TRAIN DEEP LEARNING MODELS ON MULTIPLE GPUS LAB 1, PART 2: MORE REALISTIC NETWORKS



MODERN NEURAL NETWORKS

How do they differ from our trivial example?

Not significantly!



MODERN NEURAL NETWORKS

How do they differ from our trivial example?





MODERN NEURAL NETWORKS

How do they differ from our trivial example?

More complex interconnection and many more parameters



Kaiser, L., Gomez, A. N., Shazeer, N., Vaswani, A., Parmar, N., Jones, L., & Uszkoreit, J. (2017). One model to learn them all. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.05137*. Iandola, F., Moskewicz, M., Karayev, S., Girshick, R., Darrell, T., & Keutzer, K. (2014). Densenet: Implementing efficient convnet descriptor pyramids. arXiv preprint arXiv:1404.1869. Shazeer, N., Mirhoseini, A., Maziarz, K., Davis, A., Le, Q., Hinton, G., & Dean, J. (2017). Outrageously large neural networks: The sparsely-gated mixture-of-experts layer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1701.06538*.

LEARNING

Those differences make the optimization problem much more difficult





Those differences make the optimization problem much more difficult

Linear model loss function

ResNet-56 loss function projection to 3D - no skip connections



Li, H., Xu, Z., Taylor, G., & Goldstein, T. (2017). Visualizing the Loss Landscape of Neural Nets. <u>arXiv:1712.09913</u>.



Those differences make the optimization problem much more difficult

Why do we succeed in finding good local minima?



Li, H., Xu, Z., Taylor, G., & Goldstein, T. (2017). Visualizing the Loss Landscape of Neural Nets. *arXiv:1712.09913*.

Recent advances such as residual connections simplify optimization



Li, H., Xu, Z., Taylor, G., & Goldstein, T. (2017). Visualizing the Loss Landscape of Neural Nets. <u>arXiv:1712.09913</u>.

DATA PARALLELISM: HOW TO TRAIN DEEP LEARNING MODELS ON MULTIPLE GPUS LAB 1 CONCLUSION: DATA AND MODEL PARALLELISM



DATA PARALLELISM

Focus of this course

How can we take advantage of multiple GPUs to reduce the training time?



DATA VS MODEL PARALLELISM

Comparison

- Data Parallelism
 - Allows you to speed up training
 - All workers train on different data
 - All workers have the same copy of the model
 - Neural network gradients (weight changes) are exchanged

- Model Parallelism
 - Allows you to use a bigger model
 - All workers train on the same data
 - Parts of the model are distributed across GPUs
 - Neural network activations are exchanged



DATA VS MODEL PARALLELISM

Comparison

Data Parallelism









TRAINING A NEURAL NETWORK



Single GPU

 \bigstar

sgd momentu nag adagrad

adadelta

Transport the data
Pre-process the data

1.

- 4. Queue the data
- 5. Transport the data

Read the data

- 6. Calculate activations for layer one
- 7. Calculate activations for layer two
- 8. Calculate the output
- 9. Calculate the loss
- 10. Backpropagate through layer three
- 11. Backpropagate through layer two
- 12. Backpropagate through layer one
- 13. Execute optimization step
- 14. Update the weights
- 15. Return control



TRAINING A NEURAL NETWORK

Multiple GPUs



PARALLEL/DISTRIBUTED ML TRAINING



Pipeline Model

- Complete layer per device
 - Weights stay within device
- Activations are communicated between GPUs
- Non efficient implementations may lead to inefficient usage of resources
 - Research area

1. Model Parallelism: Memory usage and computation of a model distributed across devices

Two main variants:

- a) Pipeline parallelism
- b) Tensor parallelism




PARALLEL/DISTRIBUTED ML TRAINING



1. Model Parallelism: Memory usage and computation of a model distributed across devices

Two main variants:

- a) Pipeline parallelism
- b) Tensor parallelism

Tensor Parallelism

- Tensor operations (e.g., computing a layer output) distributed across device
 - Allows larger, more computationally expensive models
- Activations are communicated between GPUs
- Further points for inefficiencies
 - A device might depend on the activations computed by more than one device



DATA PARALLELISM: HOW TO TRAIN DEEP LEARNING MODELS ON MULTIPLE GPUS LAB 2, PART 1: INTRODUCTION TO DISTRIBUTED DATA PARALLEL (DDP)



TRAINING A NEURAL NETWORK Multiple GPUs



MEET DDP

Library for distributed DL

Prepackaged into and optimized for PyTorch, an increasingly popular platform among ML engineers and researchers





USING DISTRIBUTED DATA PARALLEL (DDP)

INITIALIZE THE PROCESS

def setup(global_rank, world_size):

dist.init_process_group(backend="nccl", rank=global_rank, world_size=world_size)



PIN GPU TO BE USED

device = torch.device("cuda:" + str(local_rank))

model = Net().to(device)



ENCAPSULATE MODEL WITH DDP

model = nn.parallel.DistributedDataParallel(model, device_ids=[local_rank])



SYNCHRONIZE INITIAL STATE

Handled internally by DDP across processes and nodes!



DATA PARTITIONING

Shuffle the dataset

Partition records among workers



Train by sequentially reading the partition

After epoch is done, reshuffle and partition again



DATA PARTITIONING

```
train_sampler =
torch.utils.data.distributed.DistributedSampler(train_set,
num_replicas=world_size, rank=global_rank)
```

```
train_loader =
torch.utils.data.DataLoader(train_set,
batch_size=args.batch_size, sampler=train_sampler)
```



I/O ON ONLY ON ONE WORKER

```
download = True if local_rank == 0 else False
if local_rank == 0:
    train_set = torchvision.datasets.FashionMNIST("./data",
download=download)
```

```
if global_rank == 0:
    print("Epoch = {:2d}: Validation Loss = {:5.3f},
    Validation Accuracy = {:5.3f}".format(epoch+1, v_loss,
    val_accuracy[-1]))
```



DATA PARALLELISM: HOW TO TRAIN DEEP LEARNING MODELS ON MULTIPLE GPUS LAB 3, PART 1: SCALING THE BATCH SIZE





CAN WE INCREASE THE BATCH SIZE INDEFINITELY?

IN TERMS OF IMAGES / SECOND?



LEARNING

Kurth, T., Treichler, S., Romero, J., Mudigonda, M., Luehr, N., Phillips, E., ... & Houston, M. (2018, November). Exascale deep learning for climate analytics. In Proceedings of the International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage, and Analysis (p. 51). IEEE Press. <u>arXiv:1810.01993</u>

IN TERMS OF STEPS TO CONVERGENCE? There are limits



neural network training. arXiv:1811.03600



IN TERMS OF STEPS TO CONVERGENCE?

There are limits





LARGE MINIBATCH AND ITS IMPACT ON ACCURACY

Naïve approaches lead to degraded accuracy



You, Y., Zhang, Z., Hsieh, C., Demmel, J., & Keutzer, K. (2017). ImageNet training in minutes. arXiv: 1709.05011



Naïve approaches lead to degraded accuracy



Hoffer, E., Hubara, I., & Soudry, D. (2017). Train longer, generalize better: closing the generalization gap in large batch training of neural networks. <u>arXiv:1705.08741</u>



Why? Generalization and flatness of minima?





Why does it happen? Noise in the gradient update.



Keskar, N. S., et al. (2016). On large-batch training for deep learning: Generalization gap and sharp minima. <u>arXiv:1609.04836</u>





Figure 3: The 1D and 2D visualization of solutions obtained using SGD with different weight decay and batch size. The title of each subfigure contains the weight decay, batch size, and test error.

Li, H., Xu, Z., Taylor, G., & Goldstein, T. (2017). Visualizing the Loss Landscape of Neural Nets. arXiv:1712.09913



DATA PARALLELISM: HOW TO TRAIN DEEP LEARNING MODELS ON MULTIPLE GPUS LAB 3, PART 2: OPTIMIZATION STRATEGIES



WHAT CAN WE DO TO IMPROVE THE OPTIMIZATION PROCESS?

- Manipulate the learning rate?
- Add noise to the gradient?
- Manipulate the batch size?
- Change the learning algorithm?



Early approaches: scaling the learning rate

"Theory suggests that when multiplying the batch size by k, one should multiply the learning rate by $\int(k)$ to keep the variance in the gradient expectation constant. $\operatorname{cov}(\Delta \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{w}) \approx \frac{\eta^2}{M} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{g}_n \mathbf{g}_n^{\mathsf{T}}\right) \longrightarrow \eta \propto \sqrt{M}$

Theory aside, for the batch sizes considered in this note, the heuristic that I found to work the best was to multiply the learning rate by k when multiplying the batch size by k. I can't explain this discrepancy between theory and practice."

In practice linear scaling is still frequently used.

Krizhevsky, A. (2014). One weird trick for parallelizing convolutional neural networks. arXiv:1404.5997



Warmup strategies

- A lot of networks will diverge early in the learning process
- Warmup strategies address this challenge

Gradual warmup. We present an alternative warmup that *gradually* ramps up the learning rate from a small to a large value. This ramp avoids a sudden increase of the learning rate, allowing healthy convergence at the start of training. In practice, with a large minibatch of size kn, we start from a learning rate of η and increment it by a constant amount at each iteration such that it reaches $\hat{\eta} = k\eta$ after 5 epochs (results are robust to the exact duration of warmup). After the warmup, we go back to the original learning rate schedule.

Goyal, P., Dollár, P., Girshick, R., Noordhuis, P., Wesolowski, L., Kyrola, A., ... & He, K. (2017). Accurate, Large Minibatch SGD: Training ImageNet in 1 Hour. <u>arXiv:1706.02677</u>



Batch Normalization

Batch normalization improves the learning process by minimizing drift in the distribution of inputs to a layer

It allows higher learning rates and reduces the need to use dropout

The idea is to normalize the inputs to all layers in every batch (this is more sophisticated than simply normalizing the input dataset)

loffe and Szegedy (2015). Batch Normalization: Accelerating Deep Network Training by Reducing Internal Covariate Shift. <u>arXiv:1502.03167</u>



Figure 1: (a) The test accuracy of the MNIST network trained with and without Batch Normalization, vs. the number of training steps. Batch Normalization helps the network train faster and achieve higher accuracy. (b, c) The evolution of input distributions to a typical sigmoid, over the course of training, shown as {15, 50, 85}th percentiles. Batch Normalization makes the distribution more stable and reduces the internal covariate shift.



Ghost Batch Normalization

- The original batch normalization paper suggests using the statistics for the entire batch, but what should that mean when we have multiple GPUs?
- We can introduce additional noise by calculating smaller batch statistics ("ghost batches").
- Batch normalization is thus carried out in isolation on a per-GPU basis.



Adding noise to the gradient

- Keeps the covariance constant with changing batch size (as $\sigma^2 \propto M$)
- Does not change the mean

Furthermore, we can match both the first and second order statistics by adding multiplicative noise to the gradient estimate as follows:

$$\hat{\mathbf{g}} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{n \in B}^{N} \mathbf{g}_n z_n \,,$$

where $z_n \sim \mathcal{N}(1, \sigma^2)$ are independent random Gaussian variables for which $\sigma^2 \propto M$. This can be verified by using similar calculation as in appendix section A. This method keeps the covariance constant when we change the batch size, yet does not change the mean steps $\mathbb{E}[\Delta \mathbf{w}]$.



Longer training with larger learning rate





Increasing the batch size, instead of learning rate decay



Smith, S. L., Kindermans, P. J., & Le, Q. V. (2017). Don't Decay the Learning Rate, Increase the Batch Size. arXiv:1711.00489



LARS: Layer-wise Adaptive Rate Scaling



Figure 2: LARS: local LR for different layers and batch sizes

You, Y., Gitman, I., & Ginsburg, B. Large batch training of convolutional networks. <u>arXiv:1708.03888</u>



LARS: Layer-wise Adaptive Rate Scaling

Control magnitude of the layer k update through local learning rate λ_k :

 $\Delta w_k(t+1) = \lambda_k * G_k(w(t))$

where:

 $G_k(w(t))$: stochastic gradient of L with respect to w_k ,

 λ_k : local learning rate for layer k, defined as

$$\lambda_k = min(\gamma, \eta \cdot \frac{||w_k(t)||_2}{||G_k(w(t))||_2})$$

where

- η is trust coefficient (how much we trust stochastic gradient)
- γ is global learning rate policy (steps, exponential decay, ...)



LARC: Layer-wise learning rates with clipping; SGD with momentum is base optimizer

LAMB: Layer-wise learning rates; Adam as base optimizer

• More successful than LARC at language models like BERT

NovoGrad: Moving averages calculated on a per-layer basis

• Also useful in several different domains



SURVEY

Before you go ...

https://survey.lrz.de/index.php/848953?lang=en






DEEP LEARNING INSTITUTE

www.nvidia.com/dli