

# **Coarray Fortran**

## A Partitioned Global Address Space Language

**Author: Reinhold Bader** 



#### Design target:

Permit Fortran programs to run in **SPMD** mode natively

- SPMD: "single program multiple data"
- Design considerations:

smallest changes required to convert Fortran into a robust and efficient parallel language

• add only a few new rules to the language

#### Standardization effort:

- Baseline features in Fortran 2008 (ISO/IEC 1539-1:2010, published in October 2010)
- Further parallel features in Fortran 2018 (ISO/IEC 1539-1:2018, published in November 2018)



Fortran 2008	Fortran 2018			
SPMD execution	Collective subroutines			
Partitioned memory data model ("coarrays")	One-sided synchronization ("events")			
One-sided communication ("coindexing")	Composable parallelism ("teams")			
Synchronization against data races	Atomic subroutines			
Memory management for coarrays	Continue execution after image failure (optional)			

#### Current compiler support

Compiler	Version	Extent of support
NAG (nagfor)	7.0	Shared Memory only, most <b>F18</b> features
GCC (gfortran)	10.2	Distributed Memory (uses MPI), partial support
Cray (ftn)	10	Distributed Memory, all <b>F18</b> features (Cray systems)
Intel (ifort)	2021	Distributed Memory (uses MPI), all F18 features



Concept of image:



 image count: between 1 and number of images Replicate program a fixed number of times

- - set number of replicates at compile time or at execution time (processor dependent method)
  - asynchronous execution loose coupling (unless program-controlled synchronization occurs)

#### Separate set of entities on each image

- program-controlled exchange of data
- usually necessitates synchronization

#### Simplest possible program



#### Uses intrinsic functions for image management

```
PROGRAM hello
IMPLICIT none
WRITE(*, '(''Hello from image '',i0, '' of '',i0)') &
    this_image(), num_images()
END PROGRAM
```

file: parallel\_hello.f90

#### num\_images()

 The form without arguments returns the number of images (set by environment) – returns a default-kind integer

#### this\_image()

 generic intrinsic. The form without arguments returns a number between 1 and num\_images() – returns a default-kind integer

#### Compiling and running the program (NAG)



 note: compiling with -coarray=single permits executing with a single image only

#### Execution

• with 4 images



#### Output

Hello from image 2 of 4 Hello from image 1 of 4 Hello from image 3 of 4 Hello from image 4 of 4

non-repeatably unsorted output if multiple images are used

#### **Compiling and running the program (Intel)**



- note: compiling with -coarray=single
   permits executing with a single image only
- compiling with -coarray=distributed permits execution with multiple nodes (config file required)

#### Execution

#### Output





#### **Compilation:** Use Opencoarrays wrapper for gfortran

caf -o parallel\_hello.exe parallel\_hello.f90

- note: compiling with additional -fcoarray=single option limits execution to with a single image only
- See also <a href="http://www.opencoarrays.org/">http://www.opencoarrays.org/</a>

#### Output

- Execution
  - with 4 images

cafrun -n 4 ./parallel\_hello.exe

Hello	from	image	2	of	4		
Hello	from	image	1	of	4		
Hello	from	image	3	of	4		
Hello	from	image	4	of	4		
non-repeatably unsorted output							

A more elaborate example: Matrix-Vector Multiplication



$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} M_{ij} \cdot v_j = b_i$$

Basic building block for many algorithms



independent collection of scalar products



 functions matval() and vecval() calculate matrix elements and input vectors

#### Block row distribution:

- calculate only a block of B on each image (but that completely)
- the shading indicates the assignment of data to images
- blue: data are replicated on all images



#### Further alternatives:

- cyclic, block-cyclic
- column, row and column

#### Memory requirement:

- (n<sup>2</sup> + n) / <no. of images> + n words per image/thread
- load balanced (same computational load on each task)

#### **Assumption:** MB == N / (no. of images)

- dynamic allocation is more flexible
- if mod(N, no. of images) > 0, conditioning is required



#### Modified declarations

REAL :: Mat(MB, N), V(N)
REAL :: B(MB)

#### Semantics for PGAS replicated execution



- each image has its local (or private) copy of any declared object "private": as in OpenMP, but here is the default
- private objects are only accessible to the image which "owns" them (extrapolated from conventional "serial" language semantics, and consistent with executing in serial mode i.e. only one image)



#### "Fragmented data" model

need to calculate global row index from local iteration variable (or vice versa)



degenerates into serial version of code for 1 image

#### Work sharing: General mapping of data to images



#### Index transformation for an array dimension

a one-to-one mapping between local and global indices



 for a work-balanced problem: nlocal{p} typically the same on all images (some of the last images may have a slightly smaller value)



### Inter-image data transfer and Synchronization



#### Open issue from M \* v example

- iterative solvers require repeated evaluation of matrix-vector product
- but the result we received is distributed across the images
- Therefore, a method is needed
  - to transfer each B to the appropriate portion of V on all images



#### **PGAS** data and memory model





#### Declaration of coarrays / shared entities (simplest case)



# Coarray declaration symmetric objects INTEGER :: b(3) INTEGER, (1)

INTEGER :: a(3)[\*]

#### Execute with 4 images





• one-to-one mapping of **coindex** to image index

#### **Further declaration variants**



#### Inter-image communication: coindexed access



one-sided communication between images p and q





#### **Design aim for non-coindexed accesses:** ð

should be optimizable as if they were local entities



#### **Explicit coindexing:** ð

- indicates to programmer that communication is happening
- **distinguish:** coarray (a)  $\leftrightarrow$  coindexed entity (a[p])
- cosubscripts must be scalars of type integer

#### **Synchronization requirements**



causes race condition →
 violates language rules

Image control statement





#### All images synchronize:

- SYNC ALL provides a global barrier over all images
- segments preceding the barrier on any image will be ordered before segments after the barrier on any other image → implies ordering of statement execution

#### If SYNC ALL is not executed by all images,

- the program will discontinue execution indefinitely (deadlock)
- however, it is allowed to execute the synchronization via two different SYNC ALL statements (for example in two different subprograms)
- For large image count or sparse communication patterns, exclusively using SYNC ALL may be too expensive
  - limits scalability, depending on algorithm (load imbalance!)
  - $\rightarrow$  we'll learn about alternatives later

#### **General synchronization rules**

#### Synchronization is required

- between segments on any two different images P, Q
- which both access the same entity (may be local to P or Q or another image)
- (1) P writes and Q writes, or
- (2) P writes and Q reads, or
- (3) P reads and Q writes.

Status of dynamic entities

- replace "P writes" by "P allocates" or "P associates"
- will be discussed later (additional constraints exist on who is allowed to allocate)

#### Synchronization is not required

- for concurrent reads
- if entities are modified via atomic procedures (see later)



- Against compile-time initialized objects
- Example:
  - a very inefficient method for calculating a sum



 Coindexing is not permitted in constant expressions that perform initialization (e.g. DATA statements)

#### Image control statements needed for Get and Put patterns



p and q are assumed to have the same value on all images, respectively. Otherwise, more than one image pair communicates data.



 might be asynchronously executed

#### Completing the M\*v: Broadcast results to all images



Assumption: must update V on each image with values from B

#### Using "Get" implementation variant

modified declaration





In m-th loop iteration:



- effectively, a collectively executed scatter operation
- note that each image concurrently executes a communication statement

#### Slowest communication path

- might be a network link between two images, with bandwidth BW in units of GBytes/s
- subscription factor is n
- estimate for transfer duration of each loop iteration is

$$= T_{lat} + \frac{BW}{BW}$$
(latency T<sub>lat</sub> included)

 this is unfavourable (an n<sup>2</sup> effect when all loop iterations are accounted)

T



#### Introduce a per-image shift of source image

efficient pipelining of data transfer



#### In m-th loop iteration



$$T \leq T_{lat} +$$

BW

# Weak scaling results: N<sub>(1 image)</sub> = 20000 on Sandy Bridge with FDR 10





# **Collective Procedures**



#### **Motivation**



#### Common pattern in serial code:

use of reduction intrinsics, for example:
 SUM for evaluation of global system properties

```
REAL :: mass(NDIM,NDIM), velocity(NDIM,NDIM)
REAL :: e_kin
:
e_kin = 0.5 * sum( mass * velocity**2 )
```

#### Coarray code:

- on each image, an image-dependent partial sum is evaluated
- i. e. the intrinsic is not image-aware
- Variables that need to have the same value across all images
  - e.g. global problem sizes
  - values are initially often only known on one image

#### Reductions: CO\_SUM, CO\_MAX, CO\_MIN





#### Arguments:

- a may be a scalar or array of numeric type
- result\_image is an optional integer with value between 1 and num\_images()

 without result\_image, the result is broadcast to a on all images, otherwise only to a on the specified image

#### **Reductions with user-defined operations**



#### Example: derived type

TYPE :: matrix

- : ! implementation detail END TYPE
  - might already have a specific used to overload addition

 PURE function with scalar, nonpolymorphic, nonallocatable, nonpointer, nonoptional arguments

#### CO\_REDUCE:



 assignment to result is done as if it were intrinsic (finalizers might be invoked!)

must be mathematically associative

 operator must be the same function on all images

#### Data redistribution with CO\_BROADCAST



- Arguments:
  - a may be a scalar or array of any type. it must have the same type and shape on all images. It is overwritten with its value on SOURCE\_IMAGE on all other images
  - SOURCE\_IMAGE is an integer with value between 1 and num\_images()

#### All collectives are "in-place"

 programmer needs to copy data argument if original value is still needed

#### Data arguments need not be coarrays

 however if a coarray is supplied, it must be the same (ultimate) coarray on all images

For coarrays, all collectives could of course be implemented by the programmer. However it is expected that **collective subroutines will perform better**, apart from being more generic in semantics.

No segment ordering is implied by execution of a collective

- Collectives must be invoked by all images
  - and from unordered segments, to avoid deadlocks


## **Coarrays and dynamic memory**

#### Symmetric memory



#### For addressing efficiency, there is an advantage

 in using symmetric memory for coarrays (i.e. on each image, same local part of start address for a given object): no need to obtain a remote address for accessing remote elements



carry this property over to dynamic memory: symmetric heap

#### **Allocatable coarrays: Declaration**



and are **not permitted** to have the ALLOCATABLE or POINTER attribute, or to themselves be coarrays

#### Symmetric and collective:

 the same ALLOCATE statement must be executed on all images in unordered segments
 same bounds and cobounds

(as well as type and type parameters) must be specified on all images

ALLOCATE (id(n)[0:\*], pavement(n,10)[p,\*], stat=my\_stat)

ALLOCATE ( a\_co\_vector % v(m)[\*] )

Semantics:

permits an implementation to make use of a symmetric heap

- 1. each image performs allocation of its **local** (equally large) portion of the coarray
- 2. if successful, all images **implicitly** synchronize against each other

subsequent references or definitions are race-free against the allocation



#### Symmetric and collective:

 the same DEALLOCATE statement must be executed on all images in unordered segments

DEALLOCATE ( id, pavement, a\_co\_vector % v )

 for objects without the SAVE attribute, DEALLOCATE will be executed implicitly when the object's scope is left

## Semantics:

- 1. all images implicitly synchronize against each other
- 2. each image performs deallocation of its **local** portion of the coarray

preceding references or definitions are race-free against the deallocation

## **Reallocation and moving an allocation**



## Auto-(re)allocation is not permitted for coarrays: In

```
INTEGER, ALLOCATABLE :: id(:)[:]
```

id = some\_other\_array(:)

- the LHS must already be allocated and the RHS must conform
- this avoids potential asymmetry as well as implicit synchronization (or even deadlock)

## The MOVE\_ALLOC intrinsic

- if the FROM argument is a coarray, it must be executed on all images, and will imply synchronization of all images
- TO must have the same corank as FROM



Specification of a TARGET attribute is permitted ...

INTEGER, TARGET :: id(id\_dim)[\*]

but only local pointer association and referencing is possible

INTEGER, <b>POINTER ::</b>	id_ptr(:)	
id_ptr => id(::2)	! OK	
= id_ptr(:)[ <b>3</b> ]	! Not permitted	
id_ptr => id(:)[ <b>3</b> ]	! Not permitted	



#### Type definition contains dynamic components

- might have either the POINTER or the ALLOCATABLE attribute
- A coarray object of such a type is permissible





#### Idea:

- avoid modification of type and data design
- implement necessary communication mechanism separately

Add a suitably constructed derived type, for example:



## Assumptions on existing code and parallel extension

- lrz
- Baseline algorithm works on REAL, ALLOCATABLE, TARGET :: field(:,:)
- In between, each image needs data from another image q:
  - say, a row or a column from field





reference to subfield[q] % data executed on image p

- access remote object subfield[q] from image p
- 2. obtain location and size of **data** component
- 3. transfer data component to executing image

#### **Performance impact:**

- additional latency due to lookup step
- for pointers, non-contiguous access is likely to reduce performance





#### POINTER components

shallow copy semantics may conflict with locality requirement



if executed on an image other than q, **ps % f** must be allocated there with size 2

© 2009-22 LRZ



#### A subobject of a coarray is also a coarray if

- it is not coindexed,
- no vector subscript is involved in establishing it, and
- no POINTER or allocatable component selection is involved in establishing it.

Otherwise, it is not a coarray.

Relevance:

- when passing as an argument to a procedure with a coarray dummy
- in an association block context

following now: Exercise session 6



## **Advanced Synchronization**

## **Partial synchronization**

#### Image subsets

sometimes, it is sufficient to synchronize only a few images



IF (this\_image() < 3) THEN</pre> SYNC IMAGES ([1, 2] END IF

> executing image is implicitly included in image set

## More than 2 images:

- need not have same image set on each image
- but: eventually all image pairs must be resolved, else deadlock occurs



## **Example: Simple Master-Worker**



## Scenario:

- one image sets up data for computations
- others do computations



difference between
 SYNC IMAGES (\*) and
 SYNC ALL: no need to
 execute from all images

## Performance notes:

sending of data by image 1

```
DO i=2, num_images()
    a(:)[i] = ...
END DO
```

## "Put" mode

an optimizing compiler might perform non-blocking transfers, and processing of data by other images might start up in a staggered sequence.

## **Partial synchronization: Best Practices**



- Localize complete set of partial synchronization statements
  - avoid interleaved subroutine calls which do synchronization of their own



likely to produce wrong results even if no deadlock occurs

## Weaknesses of previously treated synchronization constructs



## Recall semantics of SYNC ALL



- enforces segment ordering:
   q<sub>1</sub> before p<sub>2</sub>, p<sub>1</sub> before q<sub>2</sub>
- q<sub>j</sub> and p<sub>j</sub> are unordered
- applies for SYNC IMAGES as well

## Symmetric synchronization is overkill

- the ordering of p<sub>1</sub> before q<sub>2</sub> is often not needed
- image q therefore might continue without waiting

## Therapy:

• F18 introduces a lightweight, one-sided synchronization mechanism – Events

USE, INTRINSIC :: iso\_fortran\_env

TYPE(event\_type) :: ev[\*]

special opaque derived type; all its objects must be coarrays

## **One-sided synchronization with Events**



#### Image q executes

a = ... EVENT POST ( ev[p] )

- and continues without blocking
- Image p executes



 the WAIT statement blocks until the POST has been received. Both are image control statements. an event variable has an internal counter with default value zero; its updates are **exempt** from the segment ordering rules ("atomic updates")

#### One sided segment ordering



- q<sub>1</sub> ordered before p<sub>2</sub>
- no other ordering implied
- no other images involved

## The dangers of over-posting



- Scenario:
  - Image p executes

EVENT POST ( ev[q] )

Image q executes

EVENT WAIT ( ev )

Image r executes

EVENT POST ( ev[q] )

#### Question:

- what synchronization effect results?
- Answer: 3 possible outcomes
  - which one happens is indeterminate

Avoid over-posting from multiple images!

**Case 1:**  $p_1$  ordered before  $q_2$ 



Case 2: r<sub>1</sub> ordered before q<sub>2</sub>



Case 3: ordering as given on next slide



## Why multiple posting?

• Example: halo update



- Correct execution:
  - Image p executes

fm(:,1)[q] = ...
EVENT POST ( ev[q] )

• Image r executes

fm(:,n)[**q**] = ... EVENT POST ( ev[**q**] ) • Image q executes

**p**<sub>1</sub> and  $r_1$  ordered before  $q_2$ 



This case is enforced by using an UNTIL\_COUNT

## Critical region

- block of code only executed by one image at a time
- order is indeterminate

#### CRITICAL

: ! statements in region

END CRITICAL

 can have a name, but this has no semantics associated with it

## Subsequently executing images:

- segments corresponding to execution of the code block are ordered against one another
- this does not apply to preceding or subsequent code blocks
- → may need additional synchronization to protect against race conditions

## Example for mutual exclusion via a critical region



- Only one image at a time can execute the critical region
  - others must wait  $\rightarrow$  code in region is **effectively serialized**

lrz

## A coarray lock variable can be used to implement specifically designed synchronization mechanisms







## Lock variable:

- two states unlocked or locked
- locked means: acquired by a specific image (until that image releases the lock again)

#### Notes:

 typically there exist as many locks as there are images, but only one is used

Quiz: why image 1 in the example?

 segment ordering is one-way (like for events)





locks are an expensive synchronization mechanism

Best case timing for lock acquisition

$$T_{lock} = T_{lat} * \log_2 N$$

#### where

T<sub>lat</sub> is the maximum latency in the system

(a couple of  $\mu s \rightarrow 10,000$  cycles)

N is the number of image groups for which  $T_{lat}$  applies.

# **Typical value** for large programs: 100,000 cycles (excludes outstanding data transfers)

## The EVENT\_QUERY intrinsic



#### Permits to inquire the state of an event variable

```
CALL event_query( event = ev, count = my_count )
```

- the event argument cannot be coindexed
- the current count of the event variable is returned
- the facility can be used to implement non-blocking execution on the WAIT side of event processing
- invocation has **no** synchronizing effect



#### Declare type components as events or locks

```
TYPE :: pipeline
  TYPE(event_type) :: start
  TYPE(work_item) :: work
END TYPE
```

but then objects of that type are obliged to be coarrays:





- Target: support for user-defined synchronization
- Prerequisite: subdivide a segment into two segments



- Assurance given by memory fence:
  - operations on x[q] and y[q] via statements on p
  - action on x[q] precedes action on y[q] → code movement by compiler prohibited
  - p is subdivided into two segments
  - but: segment on q is unordered with respect to both segments on p

## Atomic procedures: programming with race conditions



## Exception to segment ordering rules is given for

• for scalars of some intrinsic datatypes

INTEGER(atomic\_int\_kind)
LOGICAL(atomic\_logical\_kind)

 that are only modified via invocation of atomic procedures, for example those defined in the FOR standard:



## Programming with race conditions:

- might be very fast (hardware atomics, asynchronous execution), but also is dangerous to use
- high likelihood of producing unportable code





#### Then the following applies:

- this is standard-conforming (with or without the SYNC MEMORY)
- the result printed out may be 0 or 1 there is no ordering requirement for visibility of atomic updates seen from unordered segments
- This is even the case if the additional SYNC MEMORY statement is executed on image p as indicated



- To evaluate all possible results of a set of atomic operations, the programmer must
  - check all possible interleavings of atomic operations executed on unordered segments

The assumption that any issued atomic operation eventually completes is legitimate, though.

- taking care that atomic references and definitions of different entities may also be unordered against each other
- and that ordering may also depend on the image that observes values of variables involved in atomic operations.

## Example for user-defined segment ordering (purely illustrative)





#### Simple (!) state change of x:

- guarantees that SYNC MEMORY on p is executed before that on q
- and therefore p<sub>1</sub> is ordered against q<sub>2</sub>
- and therefore the coindexed access to a[p] on q is conforming

Only slightly less simple state changes can easily trip you up: just search for "ABA race condition"



#### Example for how atomic\_add() could be used





Segment q<sub>3</sub> is ordered against 1<sup>st</sup> segment of all images ---> atomic\_ref



# Using coarrays together with object-oriented features

Shaky ground due to implementation issues

Limited semantics
## **Combining coarrays with object orientation**

#### A coarray may be polymorphic

example shows typed allocation

same on each image.



• coindexing is not permitted for a polymorphic left hand side:

particles(:)[p] = ...

Not permitted for intrinsic assignment



LHS coarray in intrinsic assignment cannot be polymorphic

#### **Restrictions on association**





#### But appearance of a coarray is OK

- we've already seen it for SELECT TYPE
- here an example for coarray subobject association:

ASSOCIATE(p => asteroids%mass	5)
p(:)[ <b>q</b> ] = END ASSOCIATE	<b>p</b> is a discontiguous real array coarray, because <b>asteroids%mass</b> is a coarray subobject.



#### Applies for types with coarray components:

```
TYPE, EXTENDS( co_m ) :: co_mv
REAL, ALLOCATABLE :: v(:)[:]
END TYPE
```

 is only permitted if the parent type already has a coarray component:

```
TYPE :: co_m
  REAL, ALLOCATABLE :: m(:,:)[:]
END TYPE
```

 otherwise, existing code for co\_m would stop working for the extension → violation of inheritance mechanism

## **Execution of type- and object-bound procedures**



## Discussed:

local vs. coindexed execution



- procedure pointer: remote alias is not locally known, no remote execution supported
- type-bound procedure is the same on all images
- polymorphism removed via SELECT TYPE (RTTI)



#### For explicit references to such components,

• coindexing is not permitted.

## A cooperative circumlocution is required, for example:





## **Comments on parallel library design**

#### **Coarrays as dummy arguments**



#### Library codes may need

- to communicate and synchronize argument data
- declare these as coarrays



- Restrictions that prevent copyin/out of coarray data:
  - if dummy is not assumed-shape, actual must be simply contiguous or have the CONTIGUOUS attribute
  - VALUE attribute prohibited for dummy argument

#### Invocation:

 actual argument must be a coarray if the dummy is

REAL REAL ALLOO	:: a(nd: , ALLOCA <sup>-</sup> CATE(c(10	im)[*] TABLE 0,20,30	, b :: ( 2)[ <sup>2</sup>	(nd: c(:; *])	im,2)[*] ,:,:)[:]	
CALL	co_sub(	ndim,	a,	b,	c(1,:,:)	

 argument c: for an assumed shape dummy, the actual may be discontiguous

explicit interface required





actual is a scalar coarray subobject



- all references and definitions are done "in-place", on elements of the original array coarray
- not all images need to call the procedure



## Coindexed definitions ("Put") are not permitted

- because this constitutes a side effect
- coindexed references ("Get") are OK though

## Image control statements are not permitted

## ELEMENTAL procedures

• are not permitted to have coarray dummy arguments



## Requirements:

- must have the SAVE or the ALLOCATABLE attribute or both
- a function result cannot be declared a coarray

## Consequence:

• automatic coarrays or coarray function results are not permitted

## Rationale:

- not prohibiting this would imply a need for implicit synchronization of (and hence also invocation from) all images
- Note that for an allocatable procedure-local coarray this is the case anyway, but the synchronization point is **explicitly** visible! If that coarray does not also have the SAVE attribute, it will be auto-deallocated at exit from the procedure if no explicit DEALLOCATE was previously issued.

#### Assumptions:

- actual argument is a coindexed object (therefore not a coarray)
- it is modified inside the subprogram
- therefore, typically copy-in/out will be required
- → an additional synchronization rule is needed



- Usually not a good idea
   performance issues
  - problematic or impermissible for container types (effective assignment!)



#### Allocatable dummy argument is a coarray:

```
SUBROUTINE read_coarray_data( simulation_field, file_name )
    REAL, ALLOCATABLE, INTENT(INOUT) :: simulation_field(:,:,:)[:]
    CHARACTER(LEN=*), INTENT(IN) :: file_name
    : ! determine size
    IF (allocated( simulation_field )) DEALLOCATE( simulation_field )
    ALLOCATE( simulation_field(n1, n2, n3)[0:*] )
    : ! read data
END SUBROUTINE read_coarray_data
```

- **intent(out)** is not permitted (would imply synchronization)
- actual argument: must be allocatable, with matching type, rank and corank
- procedure must be executed on all images, and with the same effective argument

#### **Overloading the assignment**



Use this as circumlocution in cases where intrinsic assignment is prohibited



#### Generic resolution of coarray vs. noncoarray specific is not possible (syntax identical for calls with / without coarray)



- **Example:** 
  - handle data transfer for the container type

```
TYPE :: polynomial
  REAL, ALLOCATABLE :: f(:)
CONTAINS
  PROCEDURE :: get, put
END TYPE
```

here we only look at put

TYPE(polynomial) :: s[\*]
INTEGER :: status[\*]

#### Execution

of put on image p

```
SYNC ALL
:
s = ...
status[q] = s%put(q)
EVENT POST (ev[q])
```





```
INTEGER FUNCTION put(this, img)
  CLASS(polynomial), INTENT(INOUT) :: this[*]
  INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: img
  INTEGER :: rem size, lb, ub
  IF ( .NOT. allocated( this[img]%f ) .AND. allocated( this%f ) ) THEN
     put = comm fail
     RETURN
  END IF
  rem_size = size( this[img]%f,1 )
  IF ( rem_size >= size( this%f ) ) THEN
     lb = lbound(this[img]%f,1); ub = lb + size(this%f,1) - 1
     this[img]%f(lb:ub) = this%f
     this[img]%f(ub+1:) = 0.0
                                         failure is will occur if component on target image
     put = comm success
  FLSE

    is not allocated

     put = comm fail
                                           is allocated, but too small to hold data
  END IF
END FUNCTION
```

# For support of type extensions writing an overriding TBP is most appropriate



## Synchronization performed by library code

• is part of its semantics and should be **documented** 

## In particular,

- whether (and which) additional synchronization is required by the user of a library,
- and whether a procedure needs to be called from all images ("collectively") or can be called from image subsets

## It may be a good idea

 to supply optional arguments that permit to change the default synchronization behaviour

following now: Exercise session 7



## **Interoperation with MPI**

## **Basic execution model**



- Nothing is formally standardized
- Existing practice:
  - each MPI task is identical with a coarray image





- Do not rewrite an existing MPI code base
- Instead, extend it with coarray functionality
  - to avoid deadlocks, keep MPI synchronizations separate from coarray synchronizations
  - avoid coindexed actual arguments in MPI calls
  - coarrays can be used in MPI calls (always considering segment ordering rules), but be careful with non-blocking MPI calls
  - it is probably a good idea to avoid using the same object in both MPI and coarray atomics
- Knowledge of communication structure is required
  - analysis with tracing tool may be needed

#### **Technical details**



## Compilation

- use mpifort/mpif90 wrapper together with switch for coarray activation
- not every MPI implementation might be usable:

if the compiler uses MPI as implementation layer for coarrays, it is likely that you'll need to use at least a binary compatible MPI together with it

## Execution

- at least for distributedmemory, it is likely that you will need to use mpiexec to start up
- consult your vendor's or computing centre's documentation
- facilities for pinning of MPI tasks are likely to be useful for coarray performance as well <sup>(C)</sup>





## Composing coarray programs: The concept of Teams



#### Development of parallel library code

typically each doing its own internal synchronization maybe doing internal coarray allocation/deallocation by independent programmer teams

- coarrays are symmetric  $\rightarrow$  memory management not flexible enough
- avoid deadlocks  $\rightarrow$  obliged to do library call from **all** images
- collectives, global barriers must be executed from **all** images
- management of image subsets can become a headache

#### MPMD scenario: coupling of domain-specific simulation codes

we'll look at a pseudo-application of this type to illustrate the new semantics



data distribution strategy: workload balance and memory requirements



#### Matching execution to hardware

- future systems likely are non-homogeneous (memory, core count)
- A unified hybrid programming model is desired → want to fully exploit high internal bandwidth and fast synchronization of node architecture via independent image subsets





## **F18** defines the concept of a team of images

#### Teams provide additional syntax and semantics to

- subdivide set of images into subsets that can independently execute, allocate/deallocate coarrays, communicate, and synchronize;
- repeated (i.e., recursive and/or nested) subsetting is also permitted.

## Two essential steps:

- 1. define the subsets
- 2. change the execution context to a particular subset (and back again)

"composable parallelism"

## Breaking composability where necessary

 cross-team communication is also supported – as usual, with clear visual indication to the programmer

## Setting up a team decomposition





#### **Example code**





#### FORM TEAM does not by itself split execution

• after the statement, regular execution continues on all images

#### Switching the execution context: The CHANGE TEAM block construct





© 2009-22 LRZ



## Code fragment left

- indicates how control flow is implemented
- information stored in coupling\_teams determines which team the executing image belongs to

#### Supporting intrinsics (team identification)

<pre>TEAM_NUMBER( [TEAM] )     variable of     type(team_type)</pre>	returns an <b>integer</b> with the identifier ("color") of the specified (default: the current) team, -1 if the current team is the initial team.
GET_TEAM( [LEVEL] ) constants are defined in iso_fortran_env	returns the <b>type(team_type)</b> team value for the current team if LEVEL is not specified, or the team value corresponding to the integer constants INITIAL_TEAM, PARENT_TEAM, or CURRENT_TEAM.

## Simulation data (representing physical observables)





## Interaction Fluid-Structure:

• boundary bd



applies for **both** teams!

## Structure:

• field st, boundary dst

## Declarations:

REAL, ALLOCATABLE :: fl(:,:,:), dfl(:,:,:)[:]
REAL, ALLOCATABLE :: st(:,:,:), dst(:,:,:)[:]
REAL, ALLOCATABLE :: bd(:,:)[:]

#### **Processing of each subsystem**



## Data distribution and access





## Coindexing semantics:

 coindices are evaluated relative to the new image index (which is processor dependent unless NEW\_IMAGE is specified in FORM TEAM)  applies to team-specific coarrays as well as to preestablished coarrays
 → what is bd[4] in the initial team becomes bd[1] when the CHANGE TEAM starts executing
 → team-local coindexing preserves composability ☺

#### Interaction fluid ↔ structure

 need to communicate across team boundaries without leaving the team execution context (otherwise allocated data vanish ...)

## Special syntax required

• for cross-team accesses

## Extending the image selector: Cross-team coarray references and definitions





# lrz

## Synchronization of all images of a team

SYNC TEAM ( my\_team )

- for example, synchronize all images of the parent team while executing in the descendant team context
- contrast to SYNC ALL, which applies to the current team

## Restrictions on coarray allocation and deallocation:

- coarrays cannot have "holes" → in the current team, it is not permitted to deallocate a coarray that has been allocated in an ancestor team
- avoid appearance of overlapping coarrays → all coarrays allocated while a CHANGE TEAM block is executing are deallocated at the latest when the corresponding END TEAM statement is reached (even if they have the SAVE attribute)

# Dealing with the fluid-structure interaction (including necessary synchronization)







# Non-default topologies and coindexing rules

### Non-trivial coindex-to-image mappings



- Corank of a coarray may be larger than one
  - sum of rank and corank can be up to 15
- Lower cobound for each codimension can be different from 1
- Example: corank 2

Mapping to image index for 10 executing images




in synchronization

statements

#### Programmer's responsibility to specify valid coindices

this_image( coarray [,dim] )	compute (local) coindices from object on an image, optionally only that for a specified codimension	
<pre>image_index( coarray, sub )</pre>	compute (remote) image index from object	
	and coindex value; zero for invalid coindex.	e.g., for later use

Examples





#### Cobounds and coshape

<pre>lcobound( coarray [,dim] [,kind] )</pre>	compute lower cobound(s) of a coarray
ucobound( coarray [,dim] [,kind] )	compute upper cobound(s) of a coarray
coshape( coarray [,dim] [,kind] )	compute size(s) of the codimensions of a coarray (F18) (ucobound – lcobound + 1)

- additional dim argument: return scalar value for specified codimension
- additional kind argument: determine kind of result value

#### Examples

uc =	ucobound(z)
	on all images, returns [3,5]
lc =	<pre>lcobound(z)</pre>
	on all images, returns [0,3]

REAL :: z(10,10) [0:3,3:\*] INTEGER :: lc(2), uc(2)

10 images



### Cartesian topology

- e.g. require data access to a neighbouring submatrix
- usually want to **avoid** ragged pattern



### **Procedures with a coarray dummy argument**



#### Implementation

```
SUBROUTINE process_co_mat(a, p, q)
INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: p, q
REAL, INTENT(INOUT) :: a(:,:)[p,*]
:
    ip = this_image(a, 1)
    iq = this_image(a, 2)
    SYNC ALL
IF ( ip > 1 .AND. iq < q ) &
        a(:,:) = ... + &
        a(:,:)[ip-1, iq+1] * ...
:
END SUBROUTINE</pre>
```

## Invocation

```
REAL, ALLOCATABLE :: a(:,:)[:,:]
INTEGER :: n, p, q
: ! calculate symmetric n, p, q
ALLOCATE ( a(n,n) [p,*] )
```

CALL process\_co\_mat(a, p, q)

## Permissible but questionable invocations



## Corank mismatch

 corank 1 actual vs. corank 2 dummy argument

```
REAL, ALLOCATABLE :: a(:,:)[:]
INTEGER :: n, p, q
: ! calculate symmetric n, p, q
ALLOCATE ( a(n,n) [*] )
: ! set up local a
CALL process_co_mat(a, p, q) ?
```

- remapping of coindices is done when procedure is called
- this will work OK if all communication is done using the same remapping (either explicitly by the programmer, or via consistently used interfaces)

## Image-dependent setup

```
REAL, ALLOCATABLE :: a(:,:)[:,:]
INTEGER :: n, p, q, p1
: ! calculate symmetric n, p, q
ALLOCATE ( a(n,n) [p,*] )

: ! give p1, q1 an
: ! image-dependent value
CALL process_co_mat(a, p1, q1)
```

- is permissible in principle because the mapping is done image-locally,
- but confusing for programmer,
- and likely to cause algorithmic trouble or illegal accesses inside called procedure

### **Generalized coindexing and teams**





#### Inside the construct

- a is still a corank 2 coarray
- coindex mapping is to teamlocal image index, though

 $\rightarrow$  additional bookkeeping may be needed!



## **Bookkeeping support (1)**



#### Associating coarray

 permits remapping on CHANGE TEAM opening statement

#### Example

• creates three teams



- each team corresponds to a "column" part of the original coarray
- in each team, acol permits addressing this part of the coarray directly via its coindex

## **Bookkeeping support (2)**



#### Extra team argument for some coarray intrinsics

```
USE, INTRINSIC :: iso_fortran_env, &
     ONLY : INITIAL TEAM
REAL, ALLOCATABLE :: a(:,:)[:,:]
INTEGER :: n, p, me, local_ix(2), ix
TYPE(team_type) :: my_teams, initial
: ! calculate symmetric n, p
ALLOCATE (a(n,n) [p,*])
: ! set up my_teams
CHANGE TEAM ( my teams )
  initial = get team(INITIAL TEAM)
  me = this image(TEAM=initial)
  local ix = this image(a, TEAM=initial)
  ix = image index(a, &
       [2,2], TEAM=initial)
END TEAM
```

- permits inquiries for a team other than the current one
- image\_index() can instead of a type(team\_type) argument – also take an integer TEAM\_NUMBER argument to inquire on a sibling team
- for the cases with a coarray argument, the coarray must be established in the referenced team



## **Program Termination**







#### Initiation of error termination:

• by processor if an error condition is encountered on an image

(e.g., I/O statement cannot be processed and is not handled by user code)

• explicitly by executing an **ERROR STOP** statement in user code

### Upon error termination by any image,

 the intent is that the implementation should terminate execution of all images as quickly as possible

## Usual implications:

- all program state vanishes
- files that were connected to opened I/O units for write access at the time error termination was initiated are likely to have an undefined state (corrupt or incomplete data)

lrz

#### Three steps:

- 1. image initiates termination
- 2. synchronizes with all other images other images may still request data from terminating one
- 3. image terminates execution
- Step 2 guarantees that no image terminates before all have completed Step 1
  - if all images execute normal termination from unordered segments, all is fine

(for example, a stopping criterion might be propagated across all images prior to termination via a collectively executed STOP statement)



#### Semantics need to suppress deadlock

obligation to add a STAT argument to all involved image control statements,





#### What happens in case an image fails?

- typical cause: hardware problem (DIMM, CPU, network link, ...)
- (and all the rest of the HPC infrastructure): complete program terminates

## F18 : optional support for continuing execution

- images that are not directly impacted by partial failure might continue
- supported if the constant STAT\_FAILED\_IMAGE from ISO\_FORTRAN\_ENV is positive, unsupported if it is negative





#### Synchronization: Without a STAT specifier on

- image control statements (including ALLOCATE and DEALLOCATE),
- collective, MOVE\_ALLOC, or atomic subroutine invocations,

# the program terminates if an image failure is determined to have occurred.

### With a STAT specifier, active images continue execution,

- image control statements work as expected for these images,
- collective and atomic subroutine results are undefined

## Data handling and Control flow:

- programmer must deal with loss of data on failed image, and
- with side effects triggered by references and definitions of variables on failed images
- FAILED\_IMAGES intrinsic: produces list of images
   known to have failed.



## **Referencing and defining objects**





- Does not do anything, except setting a STAT argument if present
- example: statement executed on image 2

#### **Defining objects (continued)**

- Definition of an object performed by a failed image:
  - Objects that would become defined by the failed image during execution of the segment in which failure occurred become undefined.

a[2] becomes

undefined

example: statement executed on image 3

- know the communication pattern, and hence
- identify image 3 as failed

=

a(:)[2]

avoid propagation of NaNs or incorrect values







A statement that causes an image executing it to fail

- Enables testing of code that should execute in a fail-safe manner
  - execution might be conditioned on value returned by random\_number



#### Algorithm may rely on a particular image-to-data mapping

• missing images cause this concept to fail

## Possible solution:

- split image set into two subteams, worker (many) and spare (few)
- only the worker team runs the simulation
- if an image in worker fails, end team execution and generate a new worker team that uses an image from the original spare pool, assigning it the image index of the missing image.
- this can be repeated until the **spare** pool is empty



#### Strengths

- easier to use than MPI
  - syntactic integration
  - one-sided semantics
- better control of memory locality than OpenMP
- implementation can optimize for latency
- independent of memory paradigm (coherency)
- integration into language standard
- no dependence on library idiosyncrasies

#### Weaknesses

- MPMD / hybrid will take some time to implement
- Irregular problems
  - program-wide linked structures
- Without use of teams, assumes UMA → NUMA performance issues

(all parallel models are impacted)

Combination

🔶 with MPI

🔶 with OpenMP

may hit implementation issues

#### following now: Exercise session 8



# Thanks for your attention and good luck with your Fortran programming endeavours